

Deconstructing Race

Multicultural Education

Beyond the Color-Bind

Jabari Mahiri

[tcp logo]

Series Foreword

Mahiri's major project in this engaging and timely book is to deconstruct race and racial categories in order to free individuals so that they can embrace authentic identities that are fluid, complex, and consistent with what he calls their "micro-cultural identities," which make the "hidden aspects of people's identities visible." Mahiri's project to deconstruct race is a continuation—with rich insights and contributions by him—of a long and impressive tradition of social science scholars and literary writers who had similar aims, such as W. E. B. Du Bois (1994), Ralph Ellison (1947), James Baldwin (Mead & Baldwin, 1971), and Toni Morrison (1992)—who are profiled in this book. Social scientists such as Omi and Winant (1994), Gould (1996), Jacobson (1998), and Brodtkin (1998) have also made rich, original, and illuminating contributions to the project to deconstruct race and detail the ways in which it is a pernicious and destructive social construction. Anthropologists Mukhopadhyay, Henze, and Moses (2014) have written a sourcebook on race, culture, and biology that complements Mahiri's project. It focuses on ways in which teachers can help students to deconstruct and acquire sophisticated and intricate understandings of race.

Race is one of the main categories used to construct differences in the United States as well as in other nations around the world. Groups holding political and economic power construct racial categories to privilege members of their groups and to marginalize outside groups (Banks, 2002). Jacobson call races "invented categories" (p.4); Montagu

(1997) describes race as “man’s most dangerous myth” (p. 37); Omi and Winant (1994) state that the “determination of racial categories is an intensively political process” (p. 3).

The data and quotes from the 20 individuals that Mahiri interviewed make a compelling case about the ways in which racial categories often deny individuals the opportunity to actualize their authentic selves and reduce them to “acting White” or to “act Black,” when their micro-cultural and authentic identities are much more complex than a single racial category. Mahiri’s analysis of how racial categories stigmatize and limit the possibilities of individuals is insightful and informative. However, his discussion of ways to eliminate what he calls the “color-bind” caused by racial categories and institutionalized racism reveals the intractability of the systemic barriers created by institutionalized racism, and how changing the ways in which individuals view their micro-cultures and racial identities will not necessarily change the ways in which they are categorized and treated by outside individuals and groups. However, helping individuals to identify their authentic micro-cultural identities is an essential step in a much larger project that requires institutional and structural changes.

Brodin (1998) makes an important distinction between “ethnoracial assignment” and “ethnoracial identity” (p. 3). Ethoracial *assignment* is the way that individuals and groups are categorized by outside groups who have the power to make these categories matter economically, politically, and socially. Ethnoracial *identity* is the ways in which individuals and groups categorize themselves “within the context of ethnoracial assignment” (p. 3). Mahiri describes examples of how “people can actively and consciously reject [an] identity and, ultimately, construct ones that are more ancestrally and scientifically accurate.” These examples raise the question about how sustainable

authentic identities are when they are constructed and embraced by individuals within an institutionalized racist system. This question is far beyond what can be resolved in this thoughtful and comprehensive book or in this Foreword. However, deconstructing race and the color-bind requires both dismantling racial categories as well as dismantling institutionalized racism (Leonardo, 2013) and White nationalism, both of which were invigorated by the election of Donald Trump in 2016 and by the symbols of White privilege and White nationalism that he conveys to his followers (Painter, 2016).

Another complex phenomenon related to racialization and racial categories that goes beyond the boundaries and scope of this informative book and Foreword are the ways in which groups that have been victimized by racial categories and institutionalized discrimination have used that marginalization and victimization as vehicles for protest, resistance, empowerment, and to push for social justice. Racialized and marginalized groups have also been the guardians and perpetrators of freedom within U.S. society writ large. A salient example is the civil rights movement that African Americans initiated and led during the 1960s and 1970s. The civil rights movement not only mobilized and empowered African Americans, but helped to democratize U.S. society. It greatly increased social justice for diverse groups who were victims of discrimination in the United States, such as White women, Native Americans, and Asian Americans. The Immigration Reform Act of 1965—which initiated a period of massive immigration that began in 1968 and changed the ethnic texture of the United States—was a consequence of the civil rights movement. The movement also echoed throughout the world and stimulated protests from South Africa to Northern Ireland. Okimoto (1994) argues compellingly that groups in the margins of U.S. society have been the conscience of the

nation and the leaders of the struggles to close the gap between democratic ideals and institutional racism and discrimination. Foner (1998) makes a similar argument:

The authors of the notion of freedom as a universal birthright, a truly human ideal, were not so much the founding fathers, who created a nation dedicated to liberty but resting in large measure on slavery, but abolitionists who sought to extend the blessings of liberty to encompass blacks, slave and free; women who seized upon the rhetoric of democratic freedom to demand the right to vote; and immigrant groups who insisted that nativity and culture ought not to form boundaries of exclusion (pp. xx–xxi).

Because of the growing population of students from diverse racial, ethnic, linguistic, and religious groups who are attending schools in the United States, teachers and other educators need to contribute to the efforts to deconstruct race and to provide student with cultural alternatives. Although students in the United States are becoming increasingly diverse, most of the nation’s teachers are White, female, and monolingual. Race and institutionalized racism are significant factors that influence and mediate the interactions of students and teachers from different ethnic, language, and social-class groups (G. R. Howard, 2016; T. C. Howard, 2010; Leonardo, 2013). The growing income gap among adults (Stiglitz, 2012)—as well as among youth, as described by Putnam (2015) in *Our Kids: The American Dream in Crisis*—is another significant reason why it is important to help teachers to understand how categories related to race, ethnicity, and class influence classroom interactions and student learning, and to comprehend the ways in which these variables influence student aspirations and academic engagement (Suárez-Orozco, Pimentel, & Martin, 2009).

American classrooms are experiencing the largest influx of immigrant students since the beginning of the 20th century. Approximately 21.5 million new immigrants—documented and undocumented—settled in the United States between 2000 and 2015. Less than 10% came from nations in Europe. Most came from Mexico and from nations in South Asia, East Asia, Latin America, the Caribbean, and Central America (Camarota, 2011, 2016). The influence of an increasingly diverse population on U.S. schools, colleges, and universities is and will continue to be enormous.

Schools in the United States are more diverse today than they have been since the early 1900s, when a multitude of immigrants entered the United States from Southern, Central, and Eastern Europe. In 2014, the National Center for Education Statistics estimated that students from ethnic minority groups made up more than 50% of public school pre-K–12 students, an increase from 40% in 2001 (National Center for Education Statistics, 2014). Language and religious diversity is also increasing in the U.S. student population. The 2012 American Community Survey estimated that 21% of Americans aged 5 and above (61.9 million) spoke a language other than English at home (U.S. Census Bureau, 2012). Harvard professor Diana L. Eck (2001) calls the United States the “most religiously diverse nation on earth” (p. 4). Islam is now the fastest-growing religion in the United States, as well as in several European nations such as France, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands (Banks, 2009; O’Brien, 2016).

The major purpose of the Multicultural Education Series is to provide preservice educators, practicing educators, graduate students, scholars, and policymakers with an interrelated and comprehensive set of books that summarizes and analyzes important research, theory, and practice related to the education of ethnic, racial, cultural, and

linguistic groups in the United States and the education of mainstream students about diversity. The dimensions of multicultural education, developed by Banks and described in the *Handbook of Research on Multicultural Education* (Banks, 2004) and in the *Encyclopedia of Diversity in Education* (Banks, 2012), provide the conceptual framework for the development of the publications in the Series. The dimensions are content integration, the knowledge construction process, prejudice reduction, equity pedagogy, and an empowering institutional culture and social structure. The books in the Multicultural Education Series provide research, theoretical, and practical knowledge about the behaviors and learning characteristics of students of color (Conchas & Vigil, 2012; Lee, 2007), language minority students (Gándara & Hopkins, 2010; Valdés, 2001; Valdés, Capitelli, & Alvarez, 2011), low-income students (Gorski, 2013; Cookson, 2013), and other minoritized population groups, such as students who speak different varieties of English (Hudley & Mallinson, 2011), and LGBTQ youth (Mayo, 2014). Three other books in the Multicultural Education Series complement this book and focus on institutionalized racism in education: *Race Frameworks: A Multidimensional Theory of Racism and Education* by Zeus Leonardo (2013); *Engaging the “Race Question”*: *Accountability and Equity in U.S. Higher Education* by Alicia C. Dowd and Estela Mara Bensimon (2015); and *Race, Empire, and English Language Teaching: Creating Responsible and Ethical Anti-Racist Practice* by Suhanthie Motha (2014).

It is important for teachers and other educators to participate in the project to deconstruct race and to support students when they embrace identities and categories that are consistent with their ethnoracial identities and ancestry. Supporting students when they construct and embrace authentic racial categories is important in part because of the

growing number of Americans who are reporting more than one race on the U.S. census. This population grew from 6.8 million in 2000 to 9 million in 2010. During that same time-frame, the number of people who self-reported as both White and Black/African American grew by more than one million, an increase of 134%; those who self-reported as both White and Asian grew by 750,000, an increase of 87% (Jones & Bullock, 2012). As teachers and other educators work to help deconstruct institutionalized racial categories, they should also help students of color to understand that, regardless of their ethnoracial identities as individuals, they must still function in a society and nation that will frequently categorize them based on what outsiders perceive as their phenotype. It is important to help students understand the difference between ethnoracial identities and the ethnoracial assignments that are given to individuals by the larger society, in order to help them to function effectively and to attain psychological health in a racist society and nation-state. James Gee's notion of "identity affinities"—which are discussed by Mahiri—enable people to use digital texts and tools to create individual identities and selective affinities with chosen groups. Identity affinities provide a means by which students can, to some extent, circumvent categories and identities imposed by others.

When educators work to deconstruct racial categories, they should respect and be sensitive to the fact that many students who are members of minoritized and racialized groups— such as African American and Latinos—have enormous pride in the ethnic cultures of their groups, find them empowering, and feel that their ethnic and racial groups enable them to “forge self-definitions of self-reliance and independence” (Collins, 2000, p. 1). Although these students have myriad micro-cultural identities, their racial identity is predominant.

I hope this visionary and timely book will stimulate rich conversations that will enable educators to participate in the deconstruction of race and the color-bind and in the reduction of institutionalized and systemic racism, as well as highlight the ways in which groups that are victimized by racism and racialized categories have used their victimization as vehicles for resistance, empowerment, and to advance social justice.

—James A. Banks

REFERENCES

- Banks, J. A. (2002). Race, knowledge construction, and education in the USA: Lessons from history. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 5(1), 5–27.
- Banks, J. A. (2004). Multicultural education: Historical development, dimensions, and practice. In J. A. Banks & C. A. M. Banks (Eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education* (2nd ed., pp. 3–29). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Banks, J. A. (Ed.). (2009). *The Routledge international companion to multicultural education*. New York, NY and London, UK: Routledge.
- Banks, J. A. (2012). Multicultural education: Dimensions of. In J. A. Banks (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of diversity in education* (vol. 3, pp. 1538–1547). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Brodin, K. (1998). *How Jews became white folks and what that says about race in America*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Camarota, S. A. (2011, October). *A record-setting decade of immigration: 2000 to 2010*. Washington, DC: Center for Immigration Studies. Retrieved from cis.org/2000-2010-record-setting-decade-of-immigration

- Camarota, S. A. (2016, June). *New data: Immigration surged in 2014 and 2015*. Washington, DC: Center for Immigration Studies. Retrieved from cis.org/New-Data-Immigration-Surged-in-2014-and-2015
- Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Conchas, G. Q., & Vigil, J. D. (2012). *Streetsmart schoolsmart: Urban poverty and the education of adolescent boys*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Cookson, P. W. Jr. (2013). *Class rules: Exposing inequality in American high schools*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Dowd, A. C., & Bensimon, E. M. (2015). *Engaging the "race question": Accountability and equity in U.S. higher education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Du Bois, W.E.B. (1994). *The souls of black folk*. New York, NY: Dover.
- Ellison, R. (1947). *Invisible man*. New York, NY: Vintage Books.
- Eck, D. L. (2001). *A new religious America: How a "Christian country" has become the world's most religiously diverse nation*. New York, NY: HarperSanFrancisco.
- Foner, E. (1998). *The story of American freedom*. New York, NY: Norton.
- Gándara, P. & Hopkins, M. (Eds.). (2010). *Forbidden language: English language learners and restrictive language policies*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Gorski, P. C. (2013). *Reaching and teaching students in poverty: Strategies for erasing the opportunity gap*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Gould, S. J. (1996). *The mismeasure of man*. New York, NY: Norton.
- Howard, G. R. (2016). *We can't teach what we don't know: White teachers, multiracial schools* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

- Howard, T. C. (2010). *Why race and culture matter in schools. Closing the achievement gap in America's classrooms*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Hudley, A. H. C., & Mallinson, C. (2011). *Understanding language variation in U.S. schools*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Jacobson, M. F. (1998). *Whiteness of a different color: European immigrants and the alchemy of race*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Jones, N. A., & Bullock, J. (2012, September). *Two or more races population: 2010*. 2010 Census Briefs. Washington, DC: United States Census Bureau. Retrieved from census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-13.pdf
- Lee, C. D. (2007). *Culture, literacy, and learning: Taking bloom in the midst of the whirlwind*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Leonardo, Z. (2013). *Race frameworks: A multidimensional theory of racism and education*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Mayo, C. (2014). *LGBTQ youth and education: Policies and practices*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Mead, M., & Baldwin, J. (1971). *A rap on race*. New York, NY: Dell Publishing.
- Montagu, A (1997). *Man's most dangerous myth: The fallacy of race*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press
- Morrison, T. (1992). *Playing in the dark: Whiteness and the literary imagination*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Motha, S. (2014). *Race, empire, and English language teaching: Creating responsible and ethical anti-racist practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.

- Mukhopadhyay, C. C., Henze, R., & Moses, Y. T. (2014). *How real is race? A sourcebook on race, culture, and biology*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press.
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2014). *The condition of education 2014*. Retrieved from nces.ed.gov/pubs2014/2014083.pdf
- O'Brien, P. (2016). *The Muslim question in Europe: Political controversies and public philosophies*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Okiihiro, G. Y. (1994). *Margins and mainstreams: Asians in American history*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press.
- Omi, M., & Winant, H. (1994). *Racial formation in the United States: From the 1960s to the 1990s*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Painter, N. I. (2016, November 16). What Whiteness means in the Trump era. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from [nytimes.com/2016/11/13/opinion/what-whiteness-means-in-the-trump-era.html?_r=0](https://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/13/opinion/what-whiteness-means-in-the-trump-era.html?_r=0)
- Putnam, R. D. (2015). *Our kids: The American dream in crisis*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). *The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future*. New York, NY: Norton.
- Suárez-Orozco, C., Pimentel, A., & Martin, M. (2009). The significance of relationships: Academic engagement and achievement among newcomer immigrant youth. *Teachers College Record*, 111(3), 712–749.
- U.S. Census Bureau (2012). *Selected social characteristics in the United States: 2012 American Community Survey 1-year estimates*. Retrieved from

factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_12
_1YR_DP02&prodType=table

Valdés, G. (2001). *Learning and not learning English: Latino Students in American schools*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Valdés, G., Capitelli, S., & Alvarez, L. (2011). *Latino children learning English: Steps in the journey*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Acknowledgments

I thank Professor James Banks, who invited submission of this book to his thought-leading series on Multicultural Education. Jim offered insightful guidance throughout this book's development. His concepts of "microcultures" and "multiple group memberships" are two of his many ideas that have influenced my thinking. I thank Professor James Gee for his informative work on "activity-based identities" and on learning with new media. I also thank Executive Acquisitions Editor Brian Ellerbeck for shepherding this book from proposal to publication. Additionally, I thank the interviewees who graciously shared their stories. They were my teachers, and I hope this book does justice to what I learned. Because of them, my intellectual quest also became a spiritual one. I thank Professors Janaina Minelli De Oliveira, Linn Areskoug, Gesa Kirsch, Erin Murphy-Graham, and Amanda Godley, along with Dr. Isabelle Bourdon, Dr. Lanette Jimerson, and Dr. Zehlia Babaci-Wilhite, as well as Myra Oiga and Joseph Wood for thoughtful responses to the book as it developed. Also, I thank my co-instructors—Jeremiah Sims, Sepehr Vakil, Cherise McBride, Robyn Ilten-Gee, and Grace Kim—and the graduate students in my fall 2015 and fall 2016 urban education classes who shared insights into the issues addressed in this book. Particularly, I thank Shivani Savdharia, Yael Friedman, and Eva Marie Oliver for pedagogical projects connected to these two urban education classes that I discuss in the final chapter, as well as Kylie Garcia for use of her identity profile from the 2016 class and Amelia Vargas for sharing a key U.S. census document. Importantly, I

thank the William and Mary Jane Brinton Family for support from their Chair endowment, which significantly enabled my research, writing, and work with the interviewees. And, of course, I thank Joelle Cheng and Nia Mahiri Crawford for meticulously transcribing the interviews and Ayana Crawford for proofreading. Nia also became a cogent collaborator in coding the interview data, working with the references, and responding to the book's themes.

Writing Wrongs

Ethnography offers all of us the chance to step outside our narrow cultural backgrounds, to set aside our socially inherited ethnocentrism, if only for a brief period, and to apprehend the world from the viewpoint of other human beings.

—James Spradley (1979, v)

Kobié Jr. is caramel colored. He was 3 years old when this chapter was written. His family soon started calling him Santi, short for his middle name, Santiago. In the United States where he was born, he is seen as a black¹ boy. But his identity is more complex than that.

Santi's father was born and grew up in Chicago and identifies as African American. He majored in French and minored in math at Morehouse College. Santi's mother identifies as Latina and completed her bachelor's degree at the University of California, Santa Cruz. She was born in Popayá, a town in southwestern Colombia. At 5 she immigrated to the United States with her mother, who identifies as white and who was also born in Colombia. Santi's grandfather on his mother's side is indigenous Colombian and has lived his whole life in Colombia. Santi's mother and grandmother are fluent in Spanish and English, and he too is bilingual in these languages.

¹Lowercase letters are used for color-coded designations of racial categories throughout the book (except for the Series Foreword).

Hélio was 8 when this chapter was written. Like his first cousin Santi, he was born in the United States. His dad, like his dad's brother, grew up in Chicago; he graduated from Morehouse with a double major in physics and Spanish. Hélio's mother is a French citizen and defined in her country as Caucasian. Her mother is Polish and Italian and her father is German. She met Hélio's father while they were both completing doctorate degrees at the University of California, Berkeley. Hélio is fluent in English and French, so his uncle can communicate with him in French and English, while his father can communicate with his cousin Santi in Spanish and English. Hélio has a light complexion. When with his mother in the United States, he is seen as white; when with his father, he is seen as biracial. But his identity is more complex than that.

Hélio and Santi are not anomalies. Like every individual in the United States (and the world), they are physically, linguistically, geographically, historically, and personal-culturally *situated* in families; in communities and communities of practice; in social, affinity, and religious groups; and in educational and other institutions within society. Their identities are constituted by rich arrays and confluences of forces and factors stemming from how each is distinctively and fluidly situated. A core motive and focus for this book is “writing the wrongs” of hierarchy and hypocrisy perpetuated by how these children are socially constructed in U.S. society.

The research and writing of this book occurred during the 2016 presidential campaign and election. Since the November 8 results, significant increases in hate crimes and harassment against Muslims, Latinos, Jews, African Americans, LGBTQ Americans, and other minority and vulnerable groups have been continually documented and reported. Trump's deliberate denigration of these groups leading up to and subsequent to the

election reinvigorated and validated white supremacists' views that reject the value of multiculturalism and instead promote an imagined white, Christian European heritage. Clearly, his rhetoric and selection of people into leadership positions in his administration have emboldened white identity politics and increased discord and division in our society. One of the many painful examples is the incident at JFK Airport in New York shortly after his inauguration, in which Robin Rhodes, a 57-year-old man from Worcester, Massachusetts, physically and verbally assaulted a female Delta Airlines employee who was wearing a hijab. He kicked her and ranted profanities about Islam and also said, "Trump is here now. He will get rid of all of you" (Bever, 2013). Significantly, Trump's election was predicated on the fact that 58% of people identified as white voted for him. Deconstructing race is particularly imperative in the corrosive post-election climate facilitated by his election, and the roles of multicultural education are all the more pivotal.

Race is a socially constructed idea that humans can be divided into distinct groups based on inborn traits that differentiate them from members of other groups. This conception is core to practices of racism. There is no scientific justification for race. All humans are mixed! And, scientists have demonstrated that there is no physical existence of races. Yet, race *is* a social fact with a violent history and hierarchy that has resulted in differential and disturbing experiences of racism predicated on beliefs that races do exist. My argument for deconstructing race is grounded in insights from scholars who have guided my thinking, as well as extensive ethnographic interviews of people identified within the five most generally referenced racial categories in the United States—in essence, what I've learned from the literature joined with what I've learned from lives of others.

LEARNING FROM THE LIVES OF OTHERS

What I've learned from the literature and scholarship on race as well as prospects for deconstructing it are taken up in Chapters 2 and 3 and threaded through the subsequent chapters. This literature and scholarship provided compelling examples of writing the wrongs of race by explicating myriad false premises and contradictions in racial ideologies and narratives past and present. Initially, this book was conceived exclusively as a discussion of scholarship on these issues. However, after conversations with Relene,² who became the first of 20 interviewees, I decided to bring perspectives and stories from people's lives into dialogue with literature and scholarship. I saw the book's focus being substantively illuminated by my conscious attempt to step outside my own cultural background and, as Spradley suggested in the quote that begins this chapter, to "apprehend the world from the viewpoint of other human beings" (1979, p. v). Consequently, in-depth descriptions and stories of people's actual lives were joined with selected literature and scholarship as ways of writing the wrongs of race.

I was reminded of the critically acclaimed movie, *The Lives of Others* (Wiedermann, Berg, & von Donnersmarck, 2006), which won an Oscar for best foreign film. The story was set before the collapse of the Berlin Wall, when East Germany's population was closely monitored by the state secret police, the Stasi. Only a few citizens were permitted to lead private lives, among them a renowned pro-Socialist playwright. Eventually, he too was subject to surveillance, and a Stasi policeman was ordered to secretly monitor the conversations in his apartment to discover any incriminating activities by the group of

²Pseudonyms for all interviewees have been selected to reflect real names in terms of cultural connections like ethnic, linguistic, geographic, or religious origins.

artists who frequently met there. However, what the policeman learned in listening in on their lives ended up changing *his* life and politics.

Of course, I received permission to interview the adults who volunteered for this project, but as with the “secret sharer” in *The Lives of Others*, my personal views and understandings were shaped and changed by what I learned. Wacquant (2008) also argued for and demonstrated the significance of extending scholarship with ethnographic investigations. Spradley (1979), who provided a comprehensive framework for ethnographic interviewing, went so far as to say, “Perhaps the most important force behind the quiet ethnographic revolution is the widespread realization that cultural diversity is one of the great gifts bestowed on the human species” (p. v). Spradley (1979), Denzin and Lincoln (2003), Frank (2009), and Saldana (2009) oriented my approach to conducting the interviews and analyzing the transcripts and field note data. Coding across data sources was converted into larger descriptive categories and later merged into the major themes discussed in Chapter 3.

Because I feel that not only academics, but *all* readers should understand the approaches used to generate and document claims being made about people’s lives, I discuss these methods as part of the Introduction to this book. Ultimately, I would like readers to respond as Joseph Wood, one of many pre-publication “ghost” readers, did. He put himself in the shoes of the interviewees and mused over inaccuracies of his own racial identity. Indeed, how do we all construct identity in contrast to how it is socially constructed for us?

The qualitative work began when I interviewed Relene at Seoul International Airport in May of 2014. I completed the remaining 19 interviews, four adults identified in each of

the categories of European, African, Asian, and Hispanic American and American Indian/Alaskan Native, over the next 2 years. They agreed to be audiotaped, so in addition to their voices, I captured facial expressions, gestures, and body language as they spoke, often passionately and painfully, about these issues.

I met Relene at the 2014 Korean Association of Multicultural Education Conference (KAME), in which I co-presented a paper with Grace Kim where I introduced the concept of “micro-cultures” as a way of re-thinking identity beyond what I called “the color-bind.” Kim provided illuminating examples from her research on participatory culture at a Korean website called *Dramacrazy* (Mahiri & Kim, 2016; Kim, 2016). As Relene and I discussed our research interests, I also learned that she had come to the United States with her family from the Caribbean Island of Dominica as an immigrant in late adolescence. This positioning had sharpened the focus of her “inner eyes”—an image from the “Prologue” of *Invisible Man* (Ellison, 1947) that I will discuss in Chapter 2.

As we talked about the focus of this book project, I could see the significance of pre-interview conversations. I listened for information and ideas that, if she agreed to be interviewed, would inform my questions to help her deeply probe her experiences. For example, although she has dark brown skin, she talked about how her teenage experiences in Boston made her feel like she was “passing for black.” This was more than a year before Rachel Dolezal was outed by her parents on June 15, 2015 as a white woman passing for black.

I will return to the controversy surrounding Ms. Dolezal in Chapter 5, but here I provide a glimpse of how Relene came to her own sense of “passing.” Of African-Caribbean heritage, she identifies as a black woman who became a naturalized U.S.

citizen. She noted, “U.S. society tends to identify me as an African American woman, meaning a U.S.-born black.” But her experiences in Boston not only revealed her marginalization from blacks born in the United States, they also reflected her being the victim of intense discrimination by them. Yet, she and other West Indian immigrants wanted to be accepted by the Boston black community. So she adopted cultural practices—behaviors and styles of dress, music, food, and language—that eventually allowed her to pass for black. Essentially, she performed overt cultural components of being black, in part, to avoid “backlash.” Below the surface association with being black, however, Relene’s life is much more complex—as is everyone’s. Her truer self, her unique and dynamic positionality, practices, choices, and perspectives were not visible through the veil of race used to define her, whether by those who saw themselves as black or white.

After interviewing Relene, I realized that gathering information and ideas in pre-interview conversations allowed me to initially have to ask only two questions of each interviewee: *How do you feel U.S. society identifies you?* And, *How do you identify yourself?* Because I was interested in how the interviewee’s identities and affinities were mediated by digital media and hip-hop culture, I closed each interview with two final questions: *In what ways did you previously and do you currently participate in digital culture?* And, *In what ways, if any, did you previously and do you currently participate in hip-hop culture?* Each interview involved following up on things interviewees revealed in response to these four questions in an open-ended, dialogical way. These four questions allowed me to explore if and how the interviewees’ identities and affinities that

were revealed through their positioning, practices, choices, and perspectives complicated or obviated assigned racial categories.

Each formal interview lasted from 2 to 3 hours, and I also had follow-up conversations with all the interviewees to explore additional questions. I didn't record or take notes during conversations prior to or subsequent to the formal interviews, but shortly afterwards, I wrote expansive descriptive and reflective fieldnotes to capture what I had learned. These notes became part of the data for analysis. Every interview was transcribed, read a number of times, and inductively coded to develop categories, as well as to identify any outlier considerations within and across racial, gender, sexual diversity, and generational designations.

Like Relene, the other 19 interviewees bravely intimated how they constructed, negotiated, rejected, erased, or deliberately distinguished key aspects of their identities. They also discussed how they saw their identities being invisibilized, homogenized, or boxed in rigid categories. They used and explained terms like “pigmentocracy,” “blacxican,” “Mexica,” “racial indeterminacy,” “gender ambiguity,” “pretending to be white,” “clapback,” and “selective identities” that illuminated intricate aspects of their mercurial lives. Consequently, they revealed complexity, specificity, and fluidity of their personal-cultural identities and affinities that could not be contained within or explained by reductive conceptions of race.

All 20 are U.S. citizens. One criteria was that each interviewee self-identify in one of the five ascribed racial categories. One person discussed in the Chapter 5 who has an African American and a German parent did not affirm an African American identity, but indicated that she is often seen that way. Within these categories, I selected two women

and two men with one of them being identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or queer (LGBTQ). This held for all groups except American Indian/Alaskan Natives, in which no one identified as LGBTQ. However, interviews with two of the American Indians spoke incisively to considerations of gender and sexual orientation. Another criterion was that interviewees be between the ages of 21 and 45 years old, which was true for all except one subject who was 47 when interviewed. This age specification was to get perspectives of interviewees who were born and developed into adults since the rise of the digital age and the birth of hip-hop in the early 1970s.

While honoring these selection criteria, I drew mainly on snowballing my personal, social, and professional relationships and networks to identify participants. Like the narrator in James McPherson's short story "Elbow Room" (1986), I was hunting for good stories. This may be seen as a limitation, but I feel that the significance of the study is in what is revealed about its focus through the sustained, close exploration of the practices, choices, and perspectives of the interviewees. Though beginning in self-acknowledged racial categories, the questions and dialogues allowed the interviewees to reflect on how their identities have been shaped by personal and social experiences, histories, trajectories, choices, and views that don't fit easily into assigned categories of race.

KEY CONCEPTS

We are all born into a social position and with physical features that contribute to our sense of who we are. But social positioning and physical features are not (or should not be) determinative of identity. Against the grain of social constructions, this book reveals how people's identities are ultimately determined by a wide range of personal-cultural

practices, choices, and perspectives. The practices engaged in throughout our lives are tied to major and minor life choices as well as perspectives we develop about ourselves and others at the intersection of personal, social, material, and spiritual worlds. The lives of the interviewees provided evidence for how the intersections and interactions of these components reflected the actual identities of individuals, rather than the essentialized racial categories that Brodtkin (1998) noted are “assigned” by white supremacy.

“Micro-cultures” (with a hyphen) is a key concept that captures the numerous components of positioning, practices, choices, and perspectives that make up the unique identities of each individual. This idea builds upon, but is distinguished from, Banks’ (2013) concepts of “microcultures” (without a hyphen) and “multiple group memberships,” as discussed in Chapter 9. I describe micro-cultural identities and practices as being mediated by language, and, like language, as being both acquired and learned. But they are also constituted and mediated through digital texts and tools that dramatically increase the range of how they can be engaged or enacted. At any moment, the vertical axis of these virtually limitless combinations of components—like fingerprints—reflect and define the ultimate uniqueness of individuals. On multiple horizontal axes, alignments of components also reflect similarities of individuals to specific others in shared or connected experiences within histories and geographies—within time and space. Unlike fingerprints, the combinations of micro-cultural components are dynamic and constantly changing (Mahiri, 2015; Mahiri & Kim, 2016; Mahiri & Ilten-Gee, 2017). From this perspective each life might be seen as a river fed by many distinct tributaries flowing into the sea of humanity.

The core argument of this book is that the continually emerging, rapidly changing micro-cultural identities and practices of individuals cannot be contained in the static racial categories assigned by white supremacy. Although many scholars of multicultural education have complicated these categories to illustrate more nuanced understandings of individual and group differences within them, and, although individuals and groups have struggled to construct identities of themselves within these assigned categories, *the lives and literature discussed in this book challenge the very use of these categories as viable ways to identify people*. The scholarship reviewed and the people interviewed reveal the deceit of racial categories. As the multicultural paradigm continues to evolve, these categories themselves must be changed. A beginning step in this direction has already been taken in the 2010 census by backing away from identifying Hispanics as a race, as I discuss in Chapter 3. In Chapter 7, I build on the language used to identify Hispanics in the 2010 census to offer a more accurate and viable way of defining people without resorting to race as a classification. Teaching and learning that directly acknowledge and decisively build upon the micro-cultural identities and affinities of youth and adults will substantially contribute to deconstructing reductive, color-coded, racial categories and thus contribute to dismantling the hierarchies and binaries upon which white supremacy is based.

Of course, this challenge must go beyond mere recognition of micro-cultures. Mills (1997), along with many other scholars, recognized that “racism [as manifested through white supremacy] is itself a political system, a particular power structure of formal and informal rule, socioeconomic privilege, and norms for the differential distribution of material wealth and opportunities, benefits and burdens, rights and duties” (p. 3).

Negating the effects of racism, power, and privilege wielded historically and contemporarily by groups that define themselves as white will take time and deliberate, strategic acts of deconstructing race. Some LGBTQ individuals and groups have demonstrated the viability of resisting and transforming restrictive understandings of sexual diversity, particularly over the past 50 years. It may take another 50 years of conscious work to transform understandings of human diversity before we can right the wrongs of race that white supremacy has specified and reinforced, both for its proponents and for those it oppresses and exploits. Facilitating this process in teaching and learning contexts within and beyond schools is a pivotal challenge of multicultural education.

In conjunction with micro-cultures, “identity contingencies” (Steele, 2010) is another key concept used to address how social constructions of identity can be predicated on physical characteristics and used as the basis for stereotypes and resulting stereotype responses. Steele and many other researchers building on his work have indicated how identity contingencies like skin color, facial features, hair type, and body size are linked to how people are socially constructed and treated in society, as well as how they interact with the world. Stereotypes associated with identity contingencies can forcefully and problematically shape people’s identities and development. Identity contingencies and associated stereotypes underlie how individual identities are constituted and responded to in U.S. society, and they factor in as components of an individual’s micro-cultural positioning that must be understood.

Digital media is also integral to micro-cultural identities. Two of Gee’s (2003) 36 Principles of learning with new media—the “Identity Principle” and “Affinity

Principle”—are additional concepts that clarify how individual identities move beyond racially defined categories. In defining the “Identity Principle,” Gee noted that

Learning involves taking on and playing with identities in such a way that the learner has real choices and ample opportunity to mediate on the relationship between new identities and old ones. There is a tripartite play of identities as learners relate, and reflect on, their multiple real-world identities, their virtual identities, and a projective identity. (2003, p. 208)

Individual identities are also linked to affinities with other individuals and groups in both real and virtual spaces. Regarding the “Affinity Principle,” Gee (2003) noted that membership and participation in affinity groups or affinity spaces (the virtual sites of interaction) are defined primarily by shared endeavors, goals, and practices, rather than shared race, gender, nation, ethnicity, or culture (p. 212).

An additional concept from Gee (2013, 2015) that is important regarding micro-cultures is his delineation of the nature of activity-based identities. This concept focuses on the freely chosen practices of an individual that contribute to grounding a sense of self. Gee contrasted activity-based identities to relational identities. Relational identities are closely related to identities that are socially constructed and also connect to Steele’s notion of identity contingencies. Gee noted that relational identities most often work to efface rather than reflect diversity, but when accepted and owned they can be like activity-based identities.

Activity-based and relational identities also were 2 of the 13 categories that surfaced in the interview data. These practices can reflect resident and emerging forms of social organization or what Gee (1991) earlier referred to as discourse communities. He described how discourse communities come with “identity kits” that include how to act,

talk, and take on specific roles that others in the community recognize. Relene essentially was performing components of the identity kit needed to get recognized as black in Boston. Finally, Crenshaw's (1989) concept of intersectionality (which examines how various social, cultural, and biological categories of identity intersect) was another useful concept for seeing the complexity of numerous elements of identity that are simultaneously yet differentially impacted within oppressive systems. Again, all of these intersecting and interacting components are multiplied through the use of digital texts and tools.

CHAPTER OVERVIEWS

Chapters 2 and 3 discuss literature and scholarship that explicate crucial prospects and imperatives of deconstructing race. Chapter 2 is *not* a traditional literature review. It discusses works primarily by literary writers who I feel were inherently “Deconstructing Race.” The idea was to begin discussion of the book’s focus with writers who are central to American literature and, therefore, generally familiar to readers throughout the United States and the world. Although authors in this group have written many novels, Ellison’s *Invisible Man* (1947) is the only novel discussed. Morrison’s *Playing in the Dark* (1992) is a critique of how literature by white authors works to *make* race and difference invisible. Baldwin’s *A Rap on Race* (1971, with Margaret Mead) powerfully captures racial dynamics from a half century ago and reminds us of how little things have changed. Du Bois’ *Souls of Black Folk* (1903) is used to frame this dialogue on race among these four American writers. The chapter begins with ideas from Derrida (1981/1972) on deconstruction and also discusses multicultural education with respect race. It concludes

with a discussion of why deconstructing race is imperative, particularly in light of the contemporary re-emergence of white identity politics.

Chapter 3 is a traditional review of scholarship. After discussing prospects and imperatives of “Deconstructing Race” in Chapter 2, this chapter begins with Du Bois’ (1903) characterization that the problems of the 20th century is the problem of the color line. It then discusses scholarship that addresses how the problem of the 21st century is “The Color-Bind.” Discussions of the color-bind in this chapter are not color-blind. Rather than not seeing or denying the reality of difference, the color-bind reflects ongoing attempts to contain people in fabricated racial categories, shackling minds and imaginations in divisions of difference. Scholarship in this chapter illuminates how and why this has occurred historically and contemporarily in sections on “Prisons of Identity” and “Prisms of Identity.” It reveals how these constraints on human identity are sustained for each racial group through societal forces and institutions like the U.S. census. This chapter argues that breaking out of the color-bind frees us to better appreciate and embrace our differences, but also to see vital commonalities in our human experiences beyond the blinders of race.

The next five chapters present stories and perspectives of the diverse group of interviewees whose lives, like all our lives in the United States, are forcibly fixed primarily within five general categories of race. As the final section of Chapter 2 connects the issues of this book to the current controversy of re-emerging white identity politics, the chapter by chapter discussions and stories of the interviewees are also connected to current controversies. All but one of the titles of these chapters came from statements made by individual interviewees. These titles signal a conceptual and

linguistic shift towards negating the color-codes that define racial categories: “Pretending to be White,” “Passing for Black,” “No Body’s Yellow,” “The Brown Box,” and “Red Rum.”

Chapter 4, “Pretending to be White,” has a slightly different purpose and structure from the other four chapters on the interviewees. It begins by defining and discussing the 13 key categories that surfaced in the coding of data and how they connected under three major themes that variously distinguished and united the stories of all 20 interviewees. This chapter is used to demonstrate how each of the 13 categories reflected in the three major themes of “hyper-diversity,” “stereotyping,” and “identity constructions” are specifically evidenced in the lives of all four interviewees discussed. The same level of evidence supports the discussions of the other 16 interviewees, but with this group, the categories from the data are embedded in the telling of their stories.

Chapter 5, which presents the stories of four African Americans, is framed with a discussion of the Rachel Dolezal controversy, while Chapter 6, which presents the stories of four Asian Americans, begins with the controversy surrounding the response to the 2017 Oscars by Korean rapper Johnathan Park, who talked about knocking down racial walls. Chapter 7, which presents the stories of four Hispanic Americans, begins with a discussion of how identity is framed for Hispanics as connected to the most recent U.S. census. I suggest that this framing offers a way forward in thinking about the issue of identity for all people in the United States. Chapter 8, on Native Americans, is framed by the crisis at Standing Rock, and the stories of those four interviewees reflect ways of thinking about our humanity that also suggests a way forward.

Chapter 9 brings findings from the five chapters on interviewees together within a framework of “Micro-cultures” that builds upon and is distinguished from Banks’ (2013) concept of “microcultures” without a hyphen. The concept of micro-cultures with the hyphen is fully explicated as a framework for understanding the significance of the findings from the interview data of the previous chapters. The final chapter synthesizes findings and discussions from the earlier chapters and suggests “Challenges of Multicultural Education” in moving beyond the color-bind. It portrays “Multicultural Education 2.0” through discussion and examples of teaching and learning in schools that work to more fully realize the prospects of our country’s diversity and humanity.