Primary Source Compare/Contrast Paper Checklist

1. Mechanics (Technical Quality)

- □ Paper is saved as a Microsoft Word file type (i.e. a .doc or .docx file)
- □ Title Page: Put your name, class name, and title of the paper on this page.
- □ At least 1000 words (<u>not</u> including title page, footnotes, or bibliography, approx. 3-5 double-spaced pages).
- □ 12-pt type, Times New Roman, one-inch margins, double-spaced.
- □ You should <u>not</u> put your name or a title on the first page of the body your paper or in a header. The title page makes this unnecessary.
- □ Paragraphs are correctly formatted: First line of each paragraph is indented. There is not an extra space between paragraphs.
- □ Pages are numbered in the upper right hand corner of the paper. Do <u>not</u> put your name or any other information with the page number.
- □ Footnotes conform to style for history papers (Chicago Manual of Style examples found on example sheet posted on Canvas), each have their own number, and are in 10 pt font.
- □ Bibliography conforms to standard style (Chicago Manual of Style examples found on handout posted on Canvas)
- □ Author has carefully proofread the paper for spelling, typing, and grammar errors.

2. Topic and Sources

- □ Topic is an appropriate Source from the Past from the acceptable list.
- □ Paper uses required additional scholarly history source related to the content of the document written by an historian after 1960.
- □ Content of the paper, bibliography, and notes reflect an appropriate use of both primary and secondary sources to support the author's thesis and develop his/her argument.
- □ Content of the paper answers the questions how and why the primary source document is similar to the content of the scholarly source.
- □ Content of the paper answers the questions how and why the primary source document is different from the content of the scholarly source.

- 3. Thesis The paper has a clear, significant thesis statement included in the introduction telling how the Source from the Past is similar and/or different from the scholarly source.
- 4. Introduction The paper has a clear introduction that describes the Source form the Past and scholarly source used and includes the thesis statement.
- 5. Body of the Paper
 - □ Paragraphs are well organized to present required evidence and interpretation supporting the thesis in a logical, coherent fashion.
 - □ Paragraphs are linked with transitions and summary statements.
 - □ Research cited (i.e. evidence) is relevant and supports the thesis.
 - □ Source from the Past and scholarly sources are read and interpreted carefully.
 - □ Quotations are used selectively and appropriately. No block quotations are used.
- 6. Conclusion
 - □ Adequately addresses the "so what" factor (states the most important conclusion and its significance).
 - \Box Summarizes the evidence presented to support the thesis in the body of the paper.
 - \Box Restates the thesis statement clearly.
- 7. Clarity of expression/thought
 - □ Sentences are clear, complete, and engaging.
 - \Box Paper is written in the past tense.
 - \Box Author uses the active instead of passive voice as much as possible.
 - □ There are no errors in Standard English usage, punctuation, or spelling.
 - □ Word choice is careful and appropriate.
 - \Box There are no colloquial expressions or slang.
 - □ Paper is written in the third person. No personal pronouns are used (i.e. I, me, we)
 - \Box All parts of the paper address the thesis clearly.