|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Philosophical Content | Clarity of Explanation | Organization/Writing |
| A | -Explores topic thoughtfully throughout the paper-Shows substantial depth, fullness and complexity of thought | -Expresses ideas clearly and commands the reader’s attention -Explains reasoning clearly and commands the reader’s attention-Is fully developed and detailed with well-chosen examples  | -Includes all and only relevant details -Has clear, unified and coherent structure |
| A-/B+ | -Explores topic competently -Shows some depth and complexity of thought | -Expresses ideas clearly and in an interesting manner -Explains reasoning clearly and in an interesting manner-Is well developed with clarifying supporting details and appropriate examples | - Includes most relevant details, lacks irrelevant details-Is clearly structured |
| B/B- | -Explores topic satisfactorily -Shows clarity of thought, but may treat topic simplistically, repetitively or be poorly focused | -Clearly communicates ideas but not fully developed-Clearly explains reasoning but not fully developed-Is developed with sufficient details and appropriate examples for most of the ideas  | -Is adequately structured-Includes some irrelevant material and/or leaves out some relevant details |
| C+/C/C- | -Exploration of topic shows confusion and/or limited knowledge of topic-Lacks focus or demonstrates confused or simplistic thinking  | -May not always communicate ideas clearly-May not always explain reasoning clearly-May not provide adequate details or appropriate examples | -Is ineffectively structured-Includes much irrelevant material and/or lacks much relevant detail |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| D/D- | -Shows very confused or little understanding of topic-Fails to meet some requirements of the assignment | -Communication of ideas is frequently confused and/or unfocused - Communication of reasoning is frequently confused and/or unfocused -Has very little development of ideas: does not provide adequate details or appropriate examples for key concepts | -Has very weak structure-Fails to include many relevant materials and details-Has an ineffective style (monotonous or fragmented sentence structure, no transitions, simplistic or inaccurate diction) |
| F | -Shows very little or no understanding of topic-May be deliberately off-topic-Fails to meet requirements of the assignment | -Does not communicate ideas/explain reasoning clearly-Shows incomplete or inappropriate development of ideas | -Lacks coherent organization-Does not engage appropriate textbooks or other course materials-Has an incoherent style (difficulties with sentence structure, no transition, inaccurate diction) |
| 0  | Is plagiarized or uses source material without proper citation |

Note: Overall essay grade will be weighted toward the lowest grade applicable. Two or more characteristics within the A through C range, or one or more characteristics within the D-F range, suffice to reduce paper grade. In other words, a paper with mostly A characteristics will still get a C if it has two C range characteristics (or a D if it has one D range characteristic).

Grade translation: A=Excellent

A/B+=Very good

B/B-=Good/Okay

C+/C/C-=Satisfactory

D/D-=Poor

F=Unsatisfactory