|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **GM599: Peer Review and Discussion** | | |
| **Item criteria**  **Areas to be evaluated** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Opening Discussion**   * Carry on a conversation with your partner in the specified Discussion area. * Exchange your applied research project with each other by Saturday/Sunday. | 10 | 10 |
| **Track Changes**   * Read and review your partner’s paper and use track changes to insert your comments. (If you do not know how to use track changes, please be in contact for a quick tutorial.) | 5 | 5 |
| **Nature of your Peer Review**   * Did the writer clearly state the organizational problem? * Is the logical flow of their discussion easy to follow? * Did they advance greater understanding of the problem? * What was the breadth and depth of the authors that they brought to the discussion? * Did they advance plausible solutions to the problem? * Did their concluding remarks leave key messages or lessons with you? * What did you take away from reading your colleague’s applied research project? * What are any other insights that you discovered? * What suggestions do you have for your colleague with regard to their competency in research writing? | 35 | 35 |
| **Debriefing Discussion**   * Carry on a follow-up conversation with your partner to discuss what you have learned from each other. * Is a peer-review process of another person’s paper a worthy endeavor before they present or publish? | 15 | 15 |
| LATE Paper Deduction | -X |  |
| **Total Points** | **65** | 65 |

As you review your partner’s paper, please place the focus of your comments on the **Nature of the Peer Review**. I would suggest that you use track changes and place comments that address these key elements. Thanks… Prof. McCoy