|  |
| --- |
| **GM599: Peer Review and Discussion** |
| **Item criteria****Areas to be evaluated** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Opening Discussion*** Carry on a conversation with your partner in the specified Discussion area.
* Exchange your applied research project with each other by Saturday/Sunday.
 | 10 | 10 |
| **Track Changes*** Read and review your partner’s paper and use track changes to insert your comments. (If you do not know how to use track changes, please be in contact for a quick tutorial.)
 | 5 | 5 |
| **Nature of your Peer Review*** Did the writer clearly state the organizational problem?
* Is the logical flow of their discussion easy to follow?
* Did they advance greater understanding of the problem?
* What was the breadth and depth of the authors that they brought to the discussion?
* Did they advance plausible solutions to the problem?
* Did their concluding remarks leave key messages or lessons with you?
* What did you take away from reading your colleague’s applied research project?
* What are any other insights that you discovered?
* What suggestions do you have for your colleague with regard to their competency in research writing?
 | 35 | 35 |
| **Debriefing Discussion*** Carry on a follow-up conversation with your partner to discuss what you have learned from each other.
* Is a peer-review process of another person’s paper a worthy endeavor before they present or publish?
 | 15 | 15 |
| LATE Paper Deduction | -X |  |
| **Total Points** | **65** | 65 |

As you review your partner’s paper, please place the focus of your comments on the **Nature of the Peer Review**. I would suggest that you use track changes and place comments that address these key elements. Thanks… Prof. McCoy