**Annotated Bibliography**

**Gun Control**

Crooker, C. E. (2003). Gun control and gun rights. Greenwood Publishing Group.

The book Gun Control and Gun rights were written back in 2003 and published by Greenwood Publishing Group. In this book, Crooker gives the history of weapon control in the United States since the time of the country's independence. Giving detailed accounts of the various gun control advocates and how they advocated for these rights all along. He writes about the cause of the American civil war and why the war became escalated because of the number of Americans who owned guns during that time. He writes how the issue of gun control was viewed in the early days of this United States. I want to use this book in my research on my gun control paper because it shows the history of my topic and details of how different leaders have dealt with the issue, politically and socially. Crooker has written about various campaigns in the past for the gun control act and how it was debated the sections that were emendated and why and how Americans got the act that is in use today.

Kopel, D. B. (1991). Canadian Gun Control: be supposed to the United States Refer to their Counterparts in the North for a Solution to its Firearms crisis.Temp. Int'l & Comp. LJ, 5, 1.

Canadian Gun Control is an article written by Kopel in 1991 that was published by Int’l and Comp. Kopel. In his articles, Kobel says that Americans should look north to Canada about their gun control act and stop emulating the British and Japanese government who has very strict rules governing licensed firearm holders. He further explains that The Canadians have had a good and workable gun control rights for decades and it has always worked for them. Therefore he does not see why a similar act should not work for the Americans as long as the government gives licenses to people of integrity and the ones who have a reason for having them. Since he clearly describes why Americans should allow controlled and licensed firearms and gives the various reasons for it, this will be a really valuable resource for me in the paper on gun control. Therefore I plan to use this particular resource to show the importance of people owning firearms especially in personal security matters that Kopel describes at length. In his conclusion, he notes that too many weapons in the hands of the public would easily create an ungovernable nation hence a reason to control the number of guns in the public.

Wolpert, R. M., & Gimpel, J. G. (1998). Self-interest, politics, and public attitude toward gun control. Political Behavior, 20(3), 241-262.

In his article Wolpert R.M alongside with Girmpel, show the relationship of politics, personal interest and the public perception of the contentious issue of gun control. The article is generally about the impact of gun control to the Americans in terms of politics and self-interest. The reason why I intend to use this article as one of my references is to show the importance of controlling the number licensed guns amongst the public.In addition, Wolpert writes how the public feels unsafe and cannot trust each other if every citizen owned a gun. He gives various cases that have resulted in causalities and properties lose due to rogue licensed gun holders. The writer gives his reason to advocate for the gun control policy but stating that a government cannot be able to avail a police officer to every citizen. With his reasoning that the more guns amongst the public will result to more crimes and that only the mentally tested people with a good track record of integrity should be allowed to have weapons after clearly proofing if they really need one.

Kleck, G., & Bordua, D. J. (1983). The factual foundation for certain key assumptions of gun control. Law & Policy, 5(3), 271-298.

This is an article about law and policies of the government and it clearly disagrees with those who support the gun control policy. It says people should be given the right to defend themselves and therefore do not see why the government should control the number of weapons owned by the public. Kleck, who is the writer of this articles goes ahead and explains that government should only be concerned about the rogue gun holders but not the number of those who own the guns. He gives examples of a case where people could have saved their lives if only they had a weapon for themselves.

 The reason why I want to use this valuable resource in my papers is to refer on the instances that have been described by Kleck that shows why the citizen should be allowed to possess more guns and that the government should lighten the laws on licensing these weapons.

Funk, T. M. (1994). Gun control and economic discrimination: The melting-point case-in-point. J. Crim. L. & Criminology, 85, 764.

Funk wrote an article about gun control and how the issue discriminates people in the economic lines. In the year 1994 when this articles was published, Funk says that crime had risen because of the few licensed guns in the public domain he father asserts that if the government could really create a free licensed gun act, the robbery with violence will automatically be decrease dramatically because no one would be ready to confront another person knowing well that they the opponent is armed. Although we may never accomplish his goal, an increase on the people with guns would definitely result to less crime. He tell the government that it should first start issuing the firearms to the people that it know that they live in territories of criminal gangs and then make the next step of arming the borders by arming loyal citizens in the borders of United States. I would like to use this as my reference article in showing why guns among the citizens of a country should not be restricted. And that licensing more firearms would result to more security in the country.

Kim, S. C. (2001). Pros and Cons: Social Policy Debates of Our Times. Allyn & Bacon.

In this article, Kim.S.C he wrote on the advantages and disadvantages in regards to the issue of gun control. Published by Allyn and Bacon, Kim outlines why the government should enact laws to regulate the number of weapons it licenses and it should not regulate them among its citizens. One of his reason why the government should not control the weapons it to provide more security to its citizens by protecting themselves. Also, more security companies to be allowed access to more and sophisticated weapons to counter the ever-growing challenge of insecurity. I will use this article in my paper on gun control because it gives some concrete information why guns should not be controlled. The writer clearly explains his reasons with examples and therefore I believe this is a rich resource for the information that I will be referring.
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