

INT 660 Case Study: Power Balance Guidelines and Rubric

After reviewing the Mini-Case: Power Balance (found on page 60 of the textbook), students will answer the following questions:

- 1. Develop an argument to show that an imbalance of negotiating power probably existed between the parties during the negotiations. Identify the factors accounting for the imbalance.
- 2. Identify strategies that either party could have used to increase its negotiating power in this particular negotiation.

Guidelines for Submission: Your case study should follow these formatting guidelines: Use of at least one source, one to two pages double-spaced, 12-point Times New Roman font, one-inch margins, and citations in APA format.

Critical Elements	Exemplary (100%)	Proficient (90%)	Needs Improvement (70%)	Not Evident (0%)	Value
Argument	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Devises a compelling argument	Does not sufficiently	Does not provide an argument	30
Demonstrating	substantiates ideas with clear	to demonstrate the imbalance	demonstrate the imbalance of	to demonstrate the imbalance	
Imbalance	and relevant examples	of negotiating power that	negotiating power that existed	of negotiating power that	
		existed between the parties	between the parties during the	existed between the parties	
		during the negotiation	negotiation	during the negotiation	
Power Imbalance	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Identifies the factors that	Does not identify all of the	Does not identify any factors	30
Factors	substantiates ideas with clear	accounted for the imbalance in	factors that accounted for the	that accounted for the	
	and relevant examples	negotiating power during the	imbalance in negotiating power	imbalance in negotiating power	
		negotiations	during the negotiations	during the negotiations	
Strategies for	Meets "Proficient" criteria and	Identifies strategies that either	Does not identify appropriate	Does not include strategies for	30
Increasing	substantiates ideas with	party could have used to	strategies for increasing	increasing negotiation power in	
Negotiating Power	research	increase its negotiating power	negotiation power in this	this particular negotiation	
		in this particular negotiation	particularnegotiation		
Articulation of	Submission is free of errors	Submission has no major errors	Submission has major errors	Submission has critical errors	10
Response	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	related to citations, grammar,	
	spelling, syntax, and	spelling, syntax, or organization	spelling, syntax, or organization	spelling, syntax, or organization	
	organization and is presented in		that negatively impact	that prevent understanding of	
	a professional and easy-to-read		readability and articulation of	ideas	
	format		mainideas		
	-			Earned Total	100%