PRINTED BY: ALLENAK194@GMAIL.COM. Printingershipor personal, sprivate use only. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted without publisher's prior permission. Violators will be prosecuted.

It is important to distinguish between coercion and leadership because it allows us to separate out from our examples of leadership the behaviors of individuals such as Hitler, the Taliban, and Jones. In our discussions of leadership, coercive people are not used as models of ideal leadership. Our definition suggests that leadership is reserved for those who influence a group of individuals toward a common goal. Leaders who use coercion are interested in their own goals and seldom are interested in the wants and needs of followers. Using coercion runs counter to working *with* followers to achieve a common goal.

## Leadership and Management

Leadership is a process that is similar to management in many ways. Leadership involves influence, as does management. Leadership entails working with people, which management entails as well. Leadership is concerned with effective goal accomplishment, and so is management. In general, many of the functions of management are activities that are consistent with the definition of leadership we set forth at the beginning of this chapter.

But leadership is also different from management. Whereas the study of leadership can be traced back to Aristotle, management emerged around the turn of the 20th century with the advent of our industrialized society. Management was created as a way to reduce chaos in organizations, to make them run more effectively and efficiently. The primary functions of management, as first identified by Fayol (1916), were planning, organizing, staffing, and controlling. These functions are still representative of the field of management today.

In a book that compared the functions of management with the functions of leadership, Kotter (1990) argued that they are quite dissimilar (Figure 1.2). The overriding function of management is to provide order and consistency to organizations, whereas the primary function of leadership is to produce change and movement. Management is about seeking order and stability; leadership is about seeking adaptive and constructive change.

As illustrated in Figure 1.2, the major activities of management are played out differently than the activities of leadership. Although they are different in scope, Kotter (1990, pp. 7–8) contended that both management and leadership are essential if an organization is to prosper. For example, if an organization has strong management without leadership, the outcome can be stifling and bureaucratic. Conversely, if an organization has strong leadership without management, the outcome can be meaningless or misdirected change for change's sake. To be effective, organizations need to nourish both competent management and skilled leadership.

## Figure 1.2 Functions of Management and Leadership

| Management Produces Order       | Leadership Produces Change |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| and Consistency                 | and Movement               |
| Planning and Budgeting          | Establishing Direction     |
| Establish agendas               | Create a vision            |
| Set timetables                  | Clarify big picture        |
| Allocate resources              | Set strategies             |
| Organizing and Staffing         | Aligning People            |
| Provide structure               | Communicate goals          |
| Make job placements             | Seek commitment            |
| Establish rules and procedures  | Build teams and coalitions |
| Controlling and Problem Solving | Motivating and Inspiring   |
| Develop incentives              | Inspire and energize       |
| Generate creative solutions     | Empower followers          |
| Take corrective action          | Satisfy unmet needs        |

Source: Adapted from A Force for Change: How Leadership Differs From Management (pp. 3–8), by J. P. Kotter, 1990, New York, NY: Free Press.