**Case Study Rubric**

Case study response must be at least 4 pages with the question being thoroughly answered (including cover page and references page). At least 4 references in APA format utilized. Must include in-text citations.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Grading Criteria** | | **Emerging** | **Developing** | **Mastering** |
|  | | 5 points or less | 7 points | 10 points |
| 1. Summarized problem, question, or issue | | Does not attempt to or fails to identify and summarize accurately. | Summarizes issue, though some aspects are incorrect or confused. Nuances and key details are missing or glossed over. | Clearly identifies the challenge and subsidiary, embedded, or implicit aspects of the issue. Identifies integral relationships essential to analyzing the issue |
| 2. Considers context and assumptions | | Approach to the issue is in egocentric and sociocentric terms. Does not relate to other contexts. Analysis is grounded in absolutes, with little acknowledgement of own biases. Does not recognize context and underlying ethical implications. | Presents and explores relevant contexts and assumptions, although in a limited way. Analysis includes some outside verification, but primarily relies on authorities. Provides some consideration of assumptions and their implications. | Analyzes the issue with a clear sense of scope and context, including an assessment of audience. Identifies influence of context. Questions assumptions, addressing ethical dimensions underlying the issue. |
| 3. Communicates own perspective, hypothesis, or position. | | Position is clearly adopted with little consideration. Addresses a single view of the argument, failing to clarify the position relative to one’s own. Fails to justify own opinion or hypothesis is unclear or simplistic. | Presents own position, which includes some original thinking, though inconsistently. Justifies own position without addressing other views or does so superficially. Position is generally clear, although gaps may exist. | Position demonstrates ownership. Appropriately identifies own position, drawing support from experience and information not from assigned sources. Justifies own view while integrating contrary interpretations. Hypothesis demonstrates sophisticated thought. |
| 4. Analyzes supporting data and evidence | | No evidence of selection or source evaluation skills. Repeats information without question or dismisses evidence without justification. Does not distinguish between fact and opinion. Evidence is simplistic, inappropriate or not related to topic. | Demonstrates adequate skill in selecting and evaluating sources to meet information need. Use of evidence is selective, discerns fact from opinion and may recognize bias. Appropriate evidence is provided although exploration is routine. | Evidence of source evaluation skills. Examines evidence and questions accuracy and relevance. Recognizes bias. Sequence of presentation reflects clear organization of ideas, subordinating for importance and impact. |
| 5. Uses other perspectives and positions | | Deals with a single perspective and fails to discuss others’ perspective. Adopts a single idea with little question. Alternatives are not integrated. Ideas are obvious. Avoids discomforting ideas. Treats other positions superficially. No evidence of self-assessment. | Begins to relate alternative views. Rough integration of multiple viewpoints. Ideas are investigated in a limited way. May overstate conflict or dismiss alternative views hastily. Analysis of other views mostly accurate. Some evidence of self-assessment. | Addresses diverse perspectives from a variety of sources to qualify analysis. Any analogies are used effectively. Clearly justifies own view while respecting views of others. Analysis of other positions is accurate and respectful. Evidence of reflection and self-assessment |
| 6. Assesses conclusions, implications, and consequences | | Fails to identify conclusions, implications, and consequences, or conclusion is a simplistic summary. Conclusions are absolute, and may attribute conclusion to external authority. | Conclusions consider evidence of consequences extending beyond a single issue. Presents implications that may impact other people or issues. Presents conclusions as only loosely related to consequences. Implications may include vague reference to conclusions. | Identifies and discusses conclusions, implications, and consequences. Considers context, assumptions, and evidence. Qualifies own assertions. Consequences are considered and integrated. Implications are developed and consider ambiguities. |
| 7. Communicates effectively | | In many places, language obscures meaning. Grammar, syntax, or other errors are distracting or repeated. Little evidence of proofreading. Style is inconsistent or inappropriate. Work is unfocused and poorly organized; lacks logical connection of ideas. Format is absent, inconsistent or distracting. Few sources are cited or used correctly | In general, language does not interfere with communication. Errors are not distracting or frequent, although there may be some problems with more difficult aspects of style and voice. Basic organization is apparent; transitions connect ideas, although they may be mechanical. Format is appropriate although at times inconsistent. Most sources are cited and used correctly. | Language clearly and effectively communicates ideas. May at times be nuanced and eloquent. Errors are minimal. Style is appropriate for audience. Organization is clear; transitions between ideas enhance presentation. Consistent use of appropriate format. Few problems with other components of presentation. All sources are cited and used correctly, demonstrating understanding or economic, legal, and social issues involved with the use of the information. |
| 8. Correct APA Format | | Does not follow, or only follows minimal APA 7 guidelines | Follows most APA 7 guidelines including using title page, correct reference and in-text citation format. | Correctly follows APA 7 Guidelines |
| 9. References | | Includes 2 or less academic references. May also include non-academic references. | Includes 3 academic references. May also include 1 or more non-academic references. | Includes 4 academic references outside of textbook. (Academic journals, academic websites etc.) |
| 10. Length | | Less than 1 full page of content, maybe missing title page, reference page or both. | Less than 2 full pages of content, may be missing title page, reference page or both. | 2 full pages of content, title page and reference page. |
| Points Earned |  | |  |  |
| **TOTAL SCORE: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (Out of 100)** | | | | |